	RENEWAL/EXF	PANSION PROJECT RATING	TOOL	
Project Na	ame: V CoC PSH (5+C) (6)			
Organization Na	me: Office on Mental Health	_		
Project T	ype PSH			
Project Identi	ifier 6			
RATING FACTOR	PERFORMANCE GOAL	Texture like in the section	PERFORMANCE	POINTS MAX PI AWARDED VALL
ELRFORMANCE MEASURES	The state of the s			THE PARTY WALL
Length of Stay	THE RESERVE TO STREET,			
Permanent Supportive-Housing	Participants are placed in housing less than 180	days after referral to PSH	7 days	20 out of 20
Exits to Permanent Housing				
Permanent Supportive-Housing	80% remain in or move to PH		100 %	25 out of 25
Returns to Homelessness				
Within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	≤ 20% of participants return to homelessness		0 %	15 out of 15
New or Increased Income and Earnerd Income				and the same of th
Earned income for project stayers	5%+ Increase		16 %	2.5 out of 2.5
Non-employment income for project stayers	25%+ increase		52 %	2.5 out of 2.5
Earned income for project leavers	5%+ Increase		100 %	2.5 out of 2.5
Non-employment income for project, leavers	25%+ Increase		52 %	2.5 out of 2.5
MINORE ENGINEERS	Performance Measures Subtotal			70 out of 70
SERVE HIGH NEED POPULATIONS			The state of the s	
Permanent Supportive-Housing	≥ 75% of participants with more than one disabil	litu tune	27 6	10
Permanent Supportive-Housing	≥ 75% of participants entering project from place	*	33 %	3 out of 10
	Serve High Need Populations Subtotal	a source and the second	33 %	3 out of 10
	Serve right iveed Populations Subtotal			6 out of 20
PROJECT EFFICITIVENESS		With the state of	Desire of the later of the late	The second state of the second
Coordinated Entry Participation	≥ 95% of entries to project from CE referrals		100 %	10 out of 10
Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation	Commits to applying Housing First model		yes	10 out of 10
PRINCIPLE IN COLUMN TO A SECOND	Project Effectiveness Subtotal			20 out of 20
OTHER AND LOCAL CRITERIA				
Applicant Narrative	Project is operating in conformance to CoC stand	lards.		
Data Quality Timliness	The state of the s	36.0	yes	10 out of 10
Timely Expenditure Reporting			86%	9 out of 10
Active participation in CoC meetings and workground	1105		yes	5.0 out of S
HMIS Interim Assessments Completed Timely			yes	10 out of 10
(i.e. SNAP, WIC, Medicaid, Medicare)			97%	19 out of 20
Bed utilization at ur>95%			96%	10.0 out of 10
Project is cost effective			100%	20 out of 20
The state of the s			yes	10 out of 10
	Other and Local Criteria Subtotal	HEIGHT STATE OF THE STATE OF TH		93 out of 95
	TOTAL SCORE		The second second second	189 out of 205
the second of the second	Weighted Rating Score			92 out of 100
PROJECTENANCIAUREDRIMATION				
CoC funding requested		NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE	: REVIEWED tab	16
Amount of other public funding (federal, state, cou	inty, city)	and the first state of the stat		L\$ 292,
Amount of private funding				
TOTAL PROJECT COST				\$ 292.
Call Amount Augustal Last Constaling Year				
CoC Amount Expanded Last Operating Year		NOTE: Edit on the UST OF PROJECTS TO BE		S 292,
CoC Amount Expended Last Operating Year		NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE	REVIEWED tab	5 292:

Percent of CoC funding expended last operating year

Project Name: √ PSH I (5) Organization Name: Harford Family House, Inc. Project Type: P5H Project Identifier. 5 POINTS MAX POINT PERFORMANCE RATING FACTOR PERFORMANCE GOAL AWARDED VALUE PERFORMANCE MEASURES Length of Stay Permanent Supportive-Housing Participants are placed in housing less than 180 days after referral to PSH 7 days 20 20 out of Exits to Permanent Housing Permanent Supportive-Housing 80% remain in or move to PH 100 % 25 25 Returns to Homelessness Within 12 months of exit to permanent housing ≤ 20% of participants return to homelessness 0 15 15 out of New or Increased Income and Earned Income Earned Income for project stayers 5%+ increase 20 25 out of 2.5 Non-employment income for project stayers 25%+ increase 1.0 2.5 10 out of Earned income for project leavers 5%+ increase out of 2,5 20 Non-employment Income for project leavers 25%+ increase 10 1.0 out of 2.5 Performance Measures Subtotal 67 out of 70 SERVE HIGH NEED POPULATIONS **Permanent Supportive-Housing** ≥ 75% of participants with more than one disability type out of 10 Permanent Supportive-Housing 2 75% of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation 10 50 out of Serve High Need Populations Subtotal 6 out of 20 PROJECTIEFFECTIVENESS Coordinated Entry Participation 2 95% of entries to project from CE referrals 100 10 10 Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation Commits to applying Housing First model 10 out of 10 Project Effectiveness Subtotal 20 out of 20 DITHER AND LOCAL ORITERIA **Applicant Narrative** Project is operating in conformance to CoC standards 10 yes 10 out of Data Quality Timiiness 10 out of 64% 6 Timely Expenditure Reporting 5 out of 5.0 Active participation in CoC meetings and workgroups 10 10 **HMIS Interim Assessments Completed Timely** 100% 20 20 out of (i.e. SNAP, WIC, Medicaid, Medicare) 80% 10.0 10 out of Bed utilization at or>95% 83% out of 20 Project is cost effective yes out of 10 Other and Local Criteria Subtotal 95 91 out of TOTAL SCORE 184 out of 205 **Weighted Rating Score** 90 out of 100

RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

CoC funding requested	NOTE: Edit on the UST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab	TC .	91,073
Amount of other public funding (federal, state, county, city)			34,07.
Amount of private funding			
TOTAL PROJECT COST			91,073
CoC Amount Awarded Last Operating Year	NOTE: Edit on the UST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tob	S	91,073
CoC Amount Expended Last Operating Year	NOTE: Edit on the UST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tob	5	91,073
Percent of CoC funding expended last operating year			100%

	RENEWAL/EXPAN	SION PROJECT RATING TOOL				
Project Name	: V UW PSH (8)					
Organization Name	: United Way of Central MD					
Project Type	PSH					
Project Identifier						
RATING FACTOR	PERFORMANCE GOAL		PERFORMANCE	POINTS AWARDED	1159	MAX POINT VALUE
PERFORMANCE MEASURES					COLUMN	
Length of Stay			كالرفاعي اللحاك			
Permanent Supportive-Housing	Participants are placed in housing less than 180 days a	fter referral to PSH	7 days	20	out of	20
Exits to Permanent Housing				16/3-1		
Permanent Supportive Housing	80% remain in or move to PH		90 %	25	out of	25
Returns to Hamelessness						
Within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	≤ 20% of participants return to homelessness		50 %	15	out of	15
New or Increased Income and Earned Income			9505ala			
Earned income for project stayers	5%+ increase		0 %	0.0	out of	2.5
Non-employment income for project stayers	25%+ increase		25 %	2.5	out of	2.5
Earned income for project leavers	5%+ increase		0 %	0.0	out of	2.5
Non-employment income for project leavers	25%+ Increase		50 %	2.5	out of	2.5
The State of the S	Performance Measures Subtotal		A CONTRACTOR	65	out of	70
FEMALUCULARY BOOK LANCE F						
Permanent Supportive-Housing		and the second s				
	≥ 75% of participants with more than one disability type		30 %	10	out of	10
Permanent Supportive-Housing	≥ 75% of participants entering project from place not r	neant for human habitation	50 %	8	out of	10
	Serve High Need Populations Subtotal			18	out of	20
PROJECT EFFECTIVENESS	NAME OF TAXABLE PARTY.	AND DESCRIPTION OF THE PARTY OF	Account to the same of	NAME OF TAXABLE PARTY.	and the last	
Coordinated Entry Participation	≥ 95% of entries to project from CE referrals	DAVID DAVID	100 %	10	outof	10
Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation	Commits to applying Housing First model		Yes	10	out of	10
	Project Effectiveness Subtotal			20	out of	20
OTHER AND LOCAL CRITERIA Applicant Narrative					-	
Data Quality Timliness	Project is operating in conformance to CoC standards		yes	10	out of	10
			60%	7	out of	10
Timely Expenditure Reporting			yes	5.0	out of	5
Active participation in CoC meetings and workgroups			yes	10	out of	10
HMIS Interim Assessments Completed Timely			60%	3	out of	20
(i.e. SNAP, WIC, Medicaid, Medicare)			100%	10.0	out of	10
Bed utilization at or>95%			100%	18	out of	20
Project is cost effective			Yes .	10	out of	10
	Other and Local Criteria Subtotal		The state of the	73	out of	95
	TOTAL SCORE					
				176	out of	205
	Weighted Rating Score			86	out of	100
PROJECT FINANCIALINFORMATION						
CoC funding requested		NOTE: Edit on the UST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED	tab	113	5	89,461
Amount of other public funding (federal, state, county	y, city)					
Amount of private funding						
TOTAL PROJECT COST				Ţ,	s manada	89,461
CoC Amount Awarded Last Operating Year		NOTE: Edit on the UST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED	tab	172		89,461
CoC Amount Experided Last Operating Year		NOTE: Edit on the UST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED				89,461

NOTE: Edit on the UST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab

Percent of CoC funding expended last operating year

Project Name: V Anna's House PSH I (1)

Organization Name: Associated Catholic Charities

Project Type: PSH

Project Identifier: 1

RATING FACTOR	PERFORMANCE GOAL	PERFORMANCE	POINTS AWARDED	MAX POINT VALUE
PERFORMANCE MEASURES"	Contraction of the contract of			
Length of Stay				Waster !
Permanent Supportive-Housing	Participants are placed in housing less than 180 days after referra	to P5H 7 days	20 out	of 20
Exits to Permanent Housing				
Permanent Supportive-Housing	80% remain In or move to PH	71 %	18 out	of 25
Returns to Hornelessness				
Within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	≤ 20% of participants return to homelessness	0 %	15 out	of 15
New or Increased Income and Earned Income				
Earned income for project stayers	5%+ increase	0 %	0.0 out	of 2.5
Non-employment income for project stayers	25%+ increase	100 %	2.5 out	of 2.5
Earned income for project leavers	5%+ increase	NA %	2.5 out	of 2.5
Non-employment income for project leavers	25%+ increase	NA %	2.5 out	of 2.5
paration from the second and the	Performance Measures Subtotal		60,5 out	of 70
SERVELHIGH NEED POPULATIONS				
Permanent Supportive-Housing	≥ 75% of participants with more than one disability type	21 %	2 out	of 10
Permanent Supportive-Housing	≥ 75% of participants entering project from place not meant for hi		0 out	
	Serve High Need Populations Subtotal		2 out	
PRO LOCEFFEOTVENESS				
Coordinated Entry Participation	≥ 95% of entries to project from CE referrals	100 %	10 out	f 10
Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation	Commits to applying Housing First model			1000
and a series indication		Ves		W 40 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10
	Project Effectiveness Subtotal		20 out	f 20
DIDHUKANO LOCAL CRITERIA		Department of the state of the		To Secretary
Applicant Narrative	Project is operating in conformance to CoC standards	yes	10 out	of 10
Data Quality Timliness		50%	5 out	of 10
Firmely Expenditure Reporting		yes	5.0 out	of 5
Active participation in CoC meetings and workgroup	5	yes	10 out	of 10
HMIS Interim Assessments Completed Timely		100%	20 out	of 20
Le. SNAP, WIC, Medicaid, Medicare)		100%	10.0 out	of 10
Bed utilization at or>95%		100%	20 out	of 20
Project is cost effective		As2	10 out	of 10
	Other and Local Criteria Subtotal		90 out	95
	TOTAL SCORE		1/2.5 out	of 205
	Weighted Rating Score			
	(Verginal Family 2007)		B4 out	100
PROJECTIFINANCIAL NEORMATION		ASCRETE ENGINEE		
CoC funding requested	NOTE: Edi.	t on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tob	Is	61,507
Amount of other public funding (federal, state, coun			1,5	21,301
Amount of private funding				
TOTAL PROJECT COST			[5]	61,507
CoC Amount Awarded East Operating Year	NOTE: Edit	t on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab	;\$	61,507
CoC Amount Expended Last Operating Year		t on the UST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab	15	61,507
			11-2	

Project Name: V Anna's House PSH II (2)

Organization Name: Associated Catholic Charities

Project Type: PSH

Project Identifier: 2

RATING FACTOR	PERFORMANCE GOAL	PERFORMANCE	POINTS	MAX POIN VALUE
PERFORMANCE MEASURES			Maria con Charles and Charles	Takes of the last
Length of Stay				1/2 (1
Permanent Supportive-Housing	Participants are placed in housing less than 180 days after referral to PSH	7 days	20 out o	20
Exits to Permanent Housing			Maria Maria Sala	
Permanent Supportive-Housing	80% remain in or move to PH	100 %	25 out of	25
Returns to Homelesaness				
Within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	≤ 20% of participants return to homelesaness	0 %	15 put o	15
New or Increased Income and Earned Income				
Earned income for project stayers	5%+ Increase	0 %	0.0 out of	2.5
Non-employment Income for project stayers	25%+ increase	67 %	2.5 out of	2.5
Earned income for project leavers	5%+ increase	0 %	0.0 out of	2.5
Non-employment income for project leavers	25%+ Increase	0 %	0.0 out of	2.5
The state of the s	Performance Measures Subtotal		62.5 out of	70
ERVEHIGH NEED POPULATIONS		STATE STATE OF THE		
Permanent Supportive-Housing	≥ 75% of participants with more than one disability type	33 %	3 put of	10
Permanent Supportive-Housing	≥ 75% of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation	0 %	0 put of	
	Serve High Need Populations Subtotal		3 out of	20
PROJECT REFLOTIVENESS				
Coordinated Entry Participation	* BCS/ of cotteles to review to get and and an order			
	2 95% of entries to project from CE referrals	100	10 out of	
lousing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation	Commits to applying Housing First model	yes	10 out of	10
	Project Effectiveness Subtotal		20 out of	2.0
OTHER AND LOCAL CRITERIA				
Applicant Narrative	Project is operating in conformance to CoC standards	yes	10 gut of	10
Data Quality Timliness		54%	5 out of	10
Firmely Expenditure Reporting		yes	5.0 out of	5
Active participation in CoC meetings and workgroup	DS .	yes	S out of	10
HMIS Interim Assessments Completed Timely		100%	20 out of	20
Le. SNAP, WIC, Medicald, Medicare)		100%	10.0 out of	10
Bed ut lization at or>95%		100%	20 out of	20
Project is cost effective		yes	10 out of	10
	Other and Local Criteria Subtotal		85 out of	95
	TOTAL'SCORE		170.5 out of	205
	Weighted Rating Score			
	Weighted Kating Score		83 out of	100
PROJECT FINANCIAL INFORMATION			- 15 M 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
CoC funding requested	NOTE: Edit on the UST OF PR	DIETTE TO BE SCHOOLS IN		
mount of other public funding (federal, state, cour		OILC 13 TO BE REVIEWED TOD	5	19,46
mount of private funding	THE STATE OF THE S			
OTAL PROJECT COST			5	89,46
oC Amount Awarded Last Operating Year	NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PR	OVERTS FOR DEPUBLICED to be		
OC Amount Expended Last Operating Year	NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PRIC		is is	89,46 89,46
				20 AC

Project Name: V UW PSH I (7)

Organization Name: United Way of Central MD

Project Type: PSH

Project Identifier: 7

RATING FACTOR	PERFORMANCE GOAL		PERFORMANCE	POINTS AWARDED	Neg 1	MAX POINT VALUE
PERFORMANCE MEASURES	Contract the contract of the c			A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY.	era era	
Length of Stay						
Permanent Supportive-Housing	Participants are placed in housing less than 180 days after re-	ferral to PSH	7 days	20	putof	20
Exits to Permanent Housing				ne li Li Li		
Permanent Supportive-Housing	80% remain in or move to PH		85 %	25	outof	25
Returns to Homelessness						
Within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	\$ 20% of participants return to homelessness		0 %	15	out of	15
New or Increased Income and Earned Income						
Earned income for project stayers	5%+ Increase		0 %	0.0	out of	25
Non-employment income for project stayers	25%+ increase		40 %	2.5	outof	2.5
Earned income for project leavers	5%+ Increase		0 %	0.0	out of	2.5
Non-employment Income for project leavers	25%+ Increase		50 %	2.5	out of	2.5
	Performance Measures Subtotal			65	outof	70
JERVE HIGH NEED POPULATIONS	The second secon			the same of the same		
Permanent Supportive-Housing	≥ 75% of participants with more than one disability type		54 %	5	outof	10
Permanent Supportive-Housing	≥ 75% of participants entering project from place not meant	for human habitation	23 %		outof	10
	Serve High Need Populations Subtotal	PARTS OF THE STATE OF		7	outof	20
PROJECT STRUTTENESS	PERSONAL PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSONAL PR				-	
Coordinated Entry Participation	≥ 95% of entries to project from CE referrals		100 %	10	out of	10
Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation	Commits to applying Housing First model		yes		outof	10
	Project Effectiveness Subtotal				out of	20
DITHER AND LOCAL CRITERIA			- ALTHOUGH			
Applicant Narrative	Project is operating in conformance to CoC standards			10	Service of	10
Data Quality Timliness	ribliet is operating in conformatice to Loc standards		yes		out of	10
Timely Expenditure Reporting			0% yes		out of out of	5
Active participation in CoC meetings and workgroup	8					10
HMIS Interim Assessments Completed Timely	•		93%		out of out of	20
(I.e. SNAP, WIC, Medicaid, Medicare)			92%			10
Bed ut lation at or>95%					out of	20
Project is cost effective			83%		out of	10
Troper of and arracted	Other and Local Criteria Subtotal		yes		out of	95
					30101	33
	TOTAL SCORE			1168	out of	205
	Weighted Rating Score			82	out of	100
			The second second	Superior Services	100	25000
PROJECTENÁNCIA-LINFORMATION.		Marie Control of the	COMMISSION OF THE PARTY OF THE	TO REAL PROPERTY.	HALL IN	
CoC funding requested		E: Edit on the UST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWS	D tab	S	Abote	135,10
Amount of other public funding (federal, state, coun	ty, city)					
Amount of private funding						
TOTAL PROJECT COST				\$1		135,10
CoC Amount Awarded Last Operating Year	Non	E: Edit on the UST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWS	O tab	\$		135,10
CoC Amount Expended Last Operating Year	חסא	E: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWE	D tab	\$	17,700	134,68
Percent of CoC funding expended last operating ye	àr				10	00%

Project Name: V Anna's House PSH IV Chronic (3)

Organization Name: Associated Catholic Charities

Project Type: PSH

Project Identifier: 3

RATING FACTOR	PERFORMANCE GOAL		PERFORMANCE	POINTS AWARDED	MAX POIN'
PERECRAMAN OL MEASURES			A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	(altransaction)	TALUE
Length of Stay					
Permanent Supportive-Housing	Participants are placed in housing less than 180 da	lys after referral to PSH	7 days	20 out o	20
Exits to Permanent Housing					
Permanent Supportive-Housing	80% remain in or move to PH		90 %	25 put o	25
Returns to Homelessness					
Within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	≤ 20% of participants return to homelessness		50 %	7 out o	f 15
New or Increased Income and Earned Income					
Earned income for project stayers	5%+ increase		0 %	0.0 outo	2.5
Non-employment income for project stayers	25%+ increase		25 %	2.5 out o	2.5
Earned income for project leavers	5%+ increase		0 %	0.0 out o	
Non-employment income for project leavers	25%+ Increase		50 %	2.5 put o	
	Performance Measures Subtotal			57 put 0	70
SERVE HIGH NEED ROSUS OF THE DISC					
Permanent Supportive-Housing	≥ 75% of participants with more than one disability	type	30 %	3 out o	10
Permanent Supportive-Housing	≥ 75% of participants entering project from place n		50 %	5 out o	
	Serve High Need Populations Subtotal			a outo	
				a succ	20
PROJECTI EFFECTIVENESS Coordinated Entry Participation			THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE		THE RESERVE
	≥ 95% of entries to project from CE referrals		100 %	10 out o	10
fousing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation	Commits to applying Housing First model		yes	10 out o	10
	Project Effectiveness Subtotal			20 out o	20
TENER AND LOCAL CRITERIA	Bell Strike below the a strike to the		TO A SHIP OF THE PARTY IN		
Applicant Narrative	Project is operating in conformance to CoC standar	rds	yes	10 out o	10
Data Quality Timliness			60%	6 out o	10
limely Expenditure Reporting			yes	5.0 out of	5
Active participation in CoC meetings and workgroup	\$		yes	10 out of	10
4MIS Interim Assessments Completed Timely			60%	12 out of	20
l.e. SNAP, WIC, Medicaid, Medicare)			100%	10.0 out of	10
Bed utilization at or>95%			100%	20 out of	20
Project is cost effective			Yes	10 out of	10
	Other and Local Criteria Subtotal			83 out of	
	TOTAL SCORE			1168 out of	205
	Weighted Rating Score			82 out of	100
ROJECT'S NANCIALINE CRIMATION		A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH	THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF TH		9000
oC funding requested		NOTE: Edit on the UST OF PROJECTS TO B	E REVIEWED tob	15	127,316
mount of other public funding (federal, state, coun	ty, city]				
mount of private funding		The state of the s			
OTAL PROJECT COST				IS IS	d27,31
oC Amount Awarded Last Operating Year		NOTE: Edit on the UST OF PROJECTS TO B	E REVIEWED tab	IS	127,310
oC Amount Expended Last Operating Year		NOTE: Edit on the UST OF PROJECTS TO B		IS	127,316